arXiv:0709.3120v1 [gr-qc] 19 Sep 2007

The flat FRW model in LQC: the self-adjointness

Wojciech Kamiński* and Jerzy Lewandowski†

1. *Instytut Fizyki Teoretycznej, Uniwersytet Warszawski, ul. Hoża 69, 00-681 Warszawa, Poland*
2. *Physics Department, 104 Davey, Penn State, University Park, PA 1602, USA*

Abstract

The flat FRW model coupled to the massless scalar field according to the improved, background scale independent version of Ashtekar, Pawlowski and Singh [1] is considered. The core of the theory is addressed directly: the APS construction of the quantum Hamiltonian is analyzed under the assumption that cosmological constant $\Lambda \leq 0$. We prove the essential self-adjointness of the operator whose square-root defines in [1] the quantum Hamiltonian operator and therefore, provide the explicit definition. If $\Lambda < 0$, then the spectrum is discrete. In the $\Lambda = 0$ case, the essential and absolutely continues spectra of the operator are derived. The latter operator is related in the unitary way to the absolutely continuous part of the Quantum Mechanics operator $a(-\frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} - \frac{b}{\cosh^2 \hat{y}})$ ($a, b > 0$ being some constants) plus a trace class operator.

1 Introduction

Loop Quantum Cosmology [1, 2] is a developing topic, grows into a well established theory and provides both qualitative and quantitative results which change our believes about the origins of the Universe [1]. The theory has achieved the point when it deserves study of the exact properties of the quantum operators describing the evolution of the quantum space-time geometry. The work in that direction has been already initiated in [6] (see SKL), on the occasion of deriving the gravitational part of the quantum scalar constraint operator in the case of the closed Freedman Robertson Walker (FRW) model.

In this paper we consider the flat FRW model coupled to the massless scalar field according to the improved, background scale independent version of Ashtekar, Pawłowski and Singh [1]. We are assuming that the cosmological constant

$$\Lambda \leq 0.$$

We go directly to core of the theory and analyze the APS construction of the quantum Hamiltonian. We study the operator whose square-root defines in [1] the quantum Hamiltonian operator and show it is essentially self-adjoint. That result provides explicit definition of the quantum Hamiltonian. The same definition was already correctly anticipated in the numerical calculations in [1] in the case $\Lambda = 0$. Here, we provide an exact proof. We derive also the essential and absolutely continues spectra of the operator. Moreover, we construct a transform that maps the operator in a unitary fashion into the very well known in Quantum Mechanics operator $a(-\frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} - \frac{b}{\cosh^2 \hat{y}})$ ($a, b > 0$ being some constants) plus a trace class operator. In the case $\Lambda < 0$ we show that that the operator has purely discrete spectrum.

---

*wkaminsk@fuw.edu.pl

†lewand@fuw.edu.pl

Below, we introduce all those elements of the APS model which will be needed to understand our results and derivation. For the physics of the model and all the technical details which are not relevant in what follows, the reader is referred to the original paper [1].

The kinematical Hilbert space for the gravitational degrees of freedom in the FRW model is

$$\mathcal{H}_{\rm gr} \ = \ \overline{\mathrm{span}\{\ |v\rangle \ : \ v \in \mathbb{R}\ \}} \tag{1}$$

with the scalar product

$$\langle v|v'\rangle \ = \ \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if} \quad v = v' \\ 0, & \quad \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \tag{2}$$

The gravitational degrees of freedom are represented by the quantum volume operator $\hat{v}$,

$$\hat{v}|v\rangle \ = \ v|v\rangle, \tag{3}$$

defined in the domain

$$D \ = \ \mathrm{span}\{\ |v\rangle \ : \ v \in \mathbb{R}\ \} \tag{4}$$

and by the improved holonomy operator

$$\hat{\mathrm{h}}_\nu|v\rangle \ = \ |v+\nu\rangle, \tag{5}$$

defined in the entire Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}_{\rm gr}$.

Given a function $Q : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, the corresponding multiplication operator will be denoted by $Q(\hat{v})$,

$$Q(\hat{v})|v\rangle \ = \ Q(v)|v\rangle. \tag{6}$$

The quantum volume operator measures (modulo a constant factor [1]) the physical volume of some cubic cell fixed in a homogenous 3-slice of space-time, whereas the improved holonomy operator contains the information about the extrinsic curvature of the 3-slice.

The kinematical Hilbert space of the homogeneous scalar field is just

$$\mathcal{H}_{\rm sc} \ = \ L^2(\mathbb{R}), \tag{7}$$

the measure being the Lebesgue one. The field operator $\hat{\phi}$ and the canonically conjugate momentum $\hat{p}_\phi$ are defined as in the Shroedinger quantum mechanics,

$$(\hat{\phi}\psi)(\phi) \ = \ \phi\psi(\phi), \quad (\hat{p}_\phi\psi)(\phi) \ = \ -i\frac{\partial}{\partial\phi}\psi(\phi). \tag{8}$$

The total kinematical Hilbert space is

$$\mathcal{H} \ = \ \mathcal{H}_{\rm gr} \otimes \mathcal{H}_{\rm sc}. \tag{9}$$

In this model all the Einstein-Klein-Gordon constraints are solved classically except the scalar constraint which takes the form of the following quantum scalar constraint operator

$$\hat{C} \ = \ B(\hat{v}) \otimes \hat{p}_\phi^2 \ - \ \hat{C}_{\rm gr} \otimes {\rm id}, \tag{10}$$

defined in the domain

$$D(\hat{C}) \ = \ {\rm span}\{ \ |v\rangle \ : \ v \in \mathbb{R}\} \otimes {\rm C}_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$$

where $B(\hat{v})$ is proportional to the quantum operator coming from the quantization of the classical inverse volume, namely

$$B(v) \ = \ \frac{27}{8}|v| \left| |v+1|^{1/3} - |v-1|^{1/3} \right|^3, \tag{11}$$

and $\hat{C}_{\rm gr}$ is the gravitational field part of the scalar constraint, namely

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{C}_{\rm gr} \ &= \ -\hat{\rm h}_2 A(\hat{v}) \hat{\rm h}_2 \ - \ \hat{\rm h}_{-2} A(\hat{v}) \hat{\rm h}_{-2} \ + \ A(\hat{v}+2) \ + \ A(\hat{v}-2) \ + \ \tilde{\Lambda}|\hat{v}|, & (12) \\ A(v) \ &= \ \frac{3\pi G}{8} |v| \cdot |(|v+1| - |v-1|)| \, , & (13) \end{aligned}$$

where $\tilde{\Lambda}$ is proportional to the cosmological constant Λ and the cube of the Planck length ℓ,

$$\tilde{\Lambda} \ = \ -\left(\frac{4\pi\gamma}{3}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}} \frac{3\sqrt{3\sqrt{3}}}{2\sqrt{2}} \ell^3 \Lambda. \tag{14}$$

In this paper we are considering the case

$$\Lambda \ \leq \ 0. \tag{15}$$

The scalar constraint operator $\hat{C}$ preserves every subspace $D_\epsilon \otimes {\rm C}_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ defined by

$$D_\epsilon \ = \ {\rm span}\{ \ |v\rangle \ : \ v = 4n + \epsilon, n \in \mathbb{Z}\}, \tag{16}$$

where $\epsilon \in [0, 4)$. There is an orthogonal decomposition

$$\mathcal{H}_{\rm gr} \ = \ \overline{\oplus_{\epsilon \in [0,4)} D_\epsilon}. \tag{17}$$

The subspaces $\mathcal{H}_\epsilon \otimes \mathcal{H}_{sc}$ where

$$\mathcal{H}_\epsilon \ = \ \overline{D_\epsilon}, \tag{18}$$

are sometimes considered analogs of "super-selection sectors", meaning that the representation of the given quantum theory is reducible and should be reduced to one of the subspaces.

In order to "solve" the quantum scalar constraint, and use the solutions to define the physical Hilbert space, one considers the restriction of the operator $\hat{C}$ to any of the subspaces $\mathcal{H}_\epsilon \otimes \mathcal{H}_{\rm sc}$ and tries to give a meaning to the quantum counterpart of the scalar constraint equation, namely to

$$“\hat{C}|\psi\rangle \ = \ 0''. \tag{19}$$

The problem is that there are not sufficiently many solutions of that equation in $\mathcal{H}_{\rm sc} \otimes \mathcal{H}_\epsilon$. Moreover, our experience in the standard quantization shows, that unless the gauge group generated by the constraints is compact, the physical solutions to the quantum constraint are "non-normalizable", that is they belong to a new Hilbert space, constructed from the starting kinematical Hilbert space.

In this paper we focus on the quantization scheme introduced and successfully applied by [1].

The starting point is replacing the heuristic formula (19) by another, also heuristic formula

$$”\big(\mathrm{id}\otimes\hat{p}_\phi \ - \ \sqrt{B(\hat{v})^{-1}\hat{C}_{\rm gr}}\otimes \mathrm{id}\big)|\psi\rangle \ = \ 0”. \tag{20}$$

The difference between (19) and (20) consists in the two steps:

i) going from the operator $\hat{C}$ to $B(\hat{v})^{-1}\hat{C}$;

ii) "selecting the positive frequency modes" of the $\hat{p}_\phi$ operator, meaning using the spectral decomposition of the Hilbert space defined by $\hat{p}_\phi$ and restricting to the non-negative part of the spectrum.

To give (20) the exact meaning, APS provide the vector space D_ϵ with a new scalar product $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle'$ such that

$$\langle v_1|v_2\rangle' \ := \ \begin{cases} B(v_1), & \text{if} \quad v_1 = v_2 \\ 0, & \qquad \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \tag{21}$$

The resulting Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}'_\epsilon$, that is the completion of D_ϵ in the new scalar product, is promoted to be the physical Hilbert space. We will be also assuming the generic case

$$\epsilon \ \neq \ 0$$

when the restriction of the $B(\hat{v})$ operator is invertible.

The operator $B(\hat{v})^{-1}\hat{C}_{\rm gr}$ preserves D_ϵ, can be written in a manifestly positive definite form and is symmetric (due to the modification in the scalar product). It follows that it admits a self-adjoint extension, say $\hat{\theta}$. It is used in [1] to give the exact meaning to (20). Solutions of (20) are defined to be all the maps

$$\mathbb{R} \ni \phi \mapsto U_\phi \Psi \in \mathcal{H}'_\epsilon$$

such that

$$U_\phi \ = \ \exp\left(i\phi\sqrt{\hat{\theta}}\right), \quad \text{and} \quad \Psi \in \mathcal{H}'_\epsilon.$$

However, the unitary map U_ϕ depends on the choice of the self-adjoint extension $\hat{\theta}$, unless the extension is unique. APS circumvent that problem, by declaring a choice of the so called Friedrichs extension, a mathematically distinguished extension which exists and is unique. That is a natural choice and makes the APS model complete. The open question was, what form that extension took in the case at hand, and whether or not there were possible other self-adjoint extensions that could *a priori* have different physical properties. Those issues gave the motivation for our current paper.

2 The results

In this section we present our results. The case $\Lambda < 0$ is simple enough to outline the proof. In the case $\Lambda = 0$ the sketch of the proof presented in this section will be followed by the detailed derivation in the subsequent sections.

The starting point for our work is the operator

$$B(\hat{v})^{-1}\hat{C}_{\mathrm{gr}}$$

introduced above (see (12), (11)), defined in the domain

$$D(B(\hat{v})^{-1}\hat{C}_{\mathrm{gr}}) \ = \ D_\epsilon$$

in the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}'_\epsilon$ (see (16),(21)). Our first step is using a unitary map

$$\begin{aligned} B(\hat{v})^{\frac{1}{2}} \ &: \ \mathcal{H}'_\epsilon \ \rightarrow \ \mathcal{H}_\epsilon, \\ |v\rangle \ &\mapsto \ \sqrt{B(v)}|v\rangle \end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

which maps the physical Hilbert space back into the Hilbert space (18). The operator in question is carried into the following operator

$$B(\hat{v})^{-\frac{1}{2}} \circ \hat{C}_{\mathrm{gr}} \circ B(\hat{v})^{-\frac{1}{2}} \tag{23}$$

defined in the domain D_ϵ.

In order to show to what extent our results do not depend on the definition of the functions A and B, we consider in this work the following generalization of the operator $\hat{C}_{\mathrm{gr}}$:

$$\begin{aligned} H_{\mathrm{APS}} \ &= \tilde{B}(\hat{v})^{-\frac{1}{2}}\big(- \hat{\mathrm{h}}_2\tilde{A}(\hat{v})\hat{\mathrm{h}}_2 \ - \ \hat{\mathrm{h}}_{-2}\tilde{A}(\hat{v})\hat{\mathrm{h}}_{-2} \\ &+ \ \tilde{A}(\hat{v}+2) \ + \ \tilde{A}(\hat{v}-2) \ + \ \tilde{\Lambda}|\hat{v}|\big)\tilde{B}(\hat{v})^{-\frac{1}{2}}, \end{aligned} \tag{24}$$

defined in the domain

$$D(H_{\mathrm{APS}}) \ = \ D_\epsilon$$

(16) in the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}_\epsilon$ (18), where the operator $\hat{\mathrm{h}}_2$ is defined in (5), and about the functions $\tilde{A}, \tilde{B} : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ we are assuming only what follows:

$$\tilde{A}(v) \ = \ \frac{3}{4}\pi G|v| + o_0(v), \tag{25}$$

$$\tilde{B}(v) \ = \ \frac{1}{|v|}(1 + \frac{\alpha}{|v|^2} + O(\frac{1}{v^4})), \tag{26}$$

where o_0 has a compact support. The functions (13) and (11) are a special case of (25) and (26) with

$$\alpha \ = \ \frac{10}{18}. \tag{27}$$

The operator $H_{\rm APS}$ is manifestly symmetric. It is also positive definite provided $A(v) \geq 0$ for every $v = 4n + \epsilon$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and given ϵ. To see the latter property it is enough to write the operator in the following form:

$$H_{\rm APS} \;=\; \tilde{B}(\hat{v})^{-\frac{1}{2}} \frac{(\hat{\rm h}_2 - \hat{\rm h}_{-2})}{i} \tilde{A}(\hat{v}) \frac{(\hat{\rm h}_2 - \hat{\rm h}_{-2})}{i} \tilde{B}(\hat{v})^{-\frac{1}{2}} \;+\; \tilde{\Lambda}|\hat{v}|\tilde{B}(\hat{v})^{-1}.$$

We study separately the cases $\tilde{\Lambda} > 0$ (that is the cosmological constant $\Lambda < 0$), and, respectively, $\tilde{\Lambda} = 0$ (that is $\Lambda = 0$).

Theorem 1. *Suppose*

$$\tilde{\Lambda} \;>\; 0. \tag{28}$$

Then, for arbitrary $\epsilon \in (0, 4\pi)$, the operator $H_{\rm APS}$ (24) has the following properties:

i) it is is essentially self adjoint;

ii) it has discrete spectrum;

iii) the spectrum is contained in $(0, \infty)$

iv) for arbitrary $E > 0$ the dimension of the Hilbert subspace spanned by the eigenvectors such that the corresponding eigenvalue λ satisfies

$$\lambda < E$$

is less or equal the number of elements of the set

$$\{n \in \mathbb{Z} \;:\; \tilde{\Lambda}\frac{|4n + \epsilon|}{\tilde{B}(4n + \epsilon)} \;< E\}$$

Technically, the theorem and proof are similar to those presented in [6] (see SKL) concerning the operator $\hat{C}_{\rm gr}$ in the $k = 1$ case. Therefore we just outline the proof. To begin with, we write the operator $H_{\rm APS}$ in the following form

$$\begin{aligned} H_{\rm APS} \;&=\; -\hat{\rm h}_2 \frac{\tilde{A}(\hat{v})}{\sqrt{\tilde{B}(\hat{v}+2)\tilde{B}(\hat{v}-2)}} \hat{\rm h}_2 - \hat{\rm h}_{-2} \frac{\tilde{A}(\hat{v})}{\sqrt{\tilde{B}(\hat{v}+2)\tilde{B}(\hat{v}-2)}} \hat{\rm h}_{-2} + \\ &\quad + \frac{\tilde{A}(\hat{v}+2) + \tilde{A}(\hat{v}-2) + \tilde{\Lambda}|\hat{v}|}{\tilde{B}(\hat{v})}\;. \end{aligned} \tag{29}$$

Then we split the operator into two operators H_0 and H_1 defined in the same domain, namely

$$H_{\rm APS} \;=\; H_1 \;+\; H_0 \tag{30}$$

$$H_1 \;=\; -\hat{\rm h}_2 \frac{\tilde{A}(\hat{v})}{\sqrt{\tilde{B}(\hat{v}+2)\tilde{B}(\hat{v}-2)}} \hat{\rm h}_2 - \hat{\rm h}_{-2} \frac{\tilde{A}(\hat{v})}{\sqrt{\tilde{B}(\hat{v}+2)\tilde{B}(\hat{v}-2)}} \hat{\rm h}_{-2} \tag{31}$$

$$H_0 \;=\; \frac{\tilde{A}(\hat{v}+2) + \tilde{A}(\hat{v}-2) + \;\tilde{\Lambda}|\hat{v}|}{\tilde{B}(\hat{v})}\;. \tag{32}$$

Note that the operator H_0 is essentially self-adjoint. It is not hard to derive the following inequality for some constant $\beta' > 0$ and arbitrary $\psi \in D_\epsilon$,

$$\|H_1\psi\|^2 \ \leq \ \|H_0\psi\|^2 \ + \ \beta'\|\psi\|^2, \tag{33}$$

For that purpose we use the expansion and expand the functions:

$$\frac{\tilde{A}(v)}{\sqrt{\tilde{B}(v+2)\tilde{B}(v-2)}} \ = \ \frac{3\pi G}{4}\big(v^2 - 2 - \alpha\big) + O(\frac{1}{v^2}) \tag{34}$$

$$\frac{|v|}{\tilde{B}(v)} \ = \ \big(v^2 - \alpha\big) + O(\frac{1}{v^2}), \tag{35}$$

and the fact, that the operator $f(\hat{v})$ for every function $f : \{v \ : \ v = 4n + \epsilon, \ n \in \mathbb{Z}\} \to \mathbb{R}$ of a compact support is bounded. The inequality shows the self-adjointness. The properties of the spectrum follow from the inequality

$$H_{\rm APS} \ \geq \ \tilde{\Lambda}|\hat{v}|\tilde{B}(\hat{v})^{-1}.$$

(see [6], SKL).

Theorem 2. *Suppose*

$$\tilde{\Lambda} \ = \ 0. \tag{36}$$

Then, for arbitrary $\epsilon \in (0, 4\pi)$*, the operator* $H_{\rm APS}$ *(24) has the following properties:*

i) it is essentially self-adjoint;

ii) the absolutely continuous spectrum is $[0, \infty)$

iii) the essential spectrum is $[0, \infty)$.

iv the absolutely continues part of the operator $H_{\rm APS}$ *is unitarily equivalent to the operator* $C^\infty_0(\mathbb{R}) \ni f \mapsto -f'' \in C^\infty_0(\mathbb{R})$ *in the Hilbert space* $L^2(\mathbb{R})$.

For those readers who do not have much experience with the terms used above[1] we describe now our result without referring to sophisticated elements of the Hilbert space theory. What we do, and what is presented in detail in the following sections, is we just construct a unitary transformation which significantly simplifies the operator in question modulo a trace class operator (that is an operator of a finite trace). Then, we refer to the known properties of the simpler operator.

More specifically, consider the operator given by the first two terms of the expansion (34, 35), namely

$$H'_{\rm APS} \ = \ \frac{3\pi G}{4}\big(- \hat{\rm h}_2(\hat{v}^2 - 2 - \alpha)\hat{\rm h}_2 - \hat{\rm h}_{-2}(\hat{v}^2 - 2 - \alpha)\hat{\rm h}_{-2} + 2\hat{v}^2 - 2\alpha\big). \tag{37}$$

---

[1] The exact definitions can be found in [3]. We quickly recall , that the essential spectrum of a self-adjoint operator H is a complement of $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ such that, $H - \lambda$ is invertible up to a compact operator. The absolutely continues part of the operator is, vaguely speaking, restriction to the subspace of vectors which spectral measure is absolutely continuous with respect to the Lebesgue measure. Absolutely continuous spectrum is the spectrum of this restricted operator. This notion is strongly related to the scattering theory.

It is not hard to check that the difference between the operators H_{APS} and H'_{APS} is the following finite sum

$$\hat{\mathrm{h}}_2 g_1(\hat{v})\hat{\mathrm{h}}_2 + g_2(\hat{v}) + \hat{\mathrm{h}}_{-2} g_1(\hat{v})\hat{\mathrm{h}}_{-2}$$

where each of the functions g_i, $i = 1, 2$ vanishes in infinity at least as fast as v^{-2}. Hence the sum is a compact, trace class operator.

In the consequence [4], the operator H_{APS} is essentially self adjoint if and only if H'_{APS} is. If the operators are self adjoint, then their spectra mentioned in Theorem (the essential, and respectively, absolutely continues spectrum) are the same, and also absolutely continues parts of the operators are unitarily equivalent.

Therefore, we continue by studying the operator H'_{APS}. As it was mentioned in Sec. 1, it turns out there is a unitary map which carries some very well known operator in the standard Quantum Mechanics into our H'_{APS}. Before discussing the properties of that map, we need the following short preparation. In order to compare a given symmetric operator X defined in a domain $D(X)$, with another, self adjoint operator, one provides $D(X)$ with the graph norm $\|\cdot\|_X$ defined as follows,

$$\|\cdot\|_X^2 = \|\cdot\|^2 + \|X\cdot\|^2.$$

Next, one considers the completion $D(\bar{X})$ of $D(X)$ in the graph norm, and finally the continues extension $\bar{X}$, of X in $D(\bar{X})$ with respect to the graph norm, so called closure of X. Therefore, we have the closure $\overline{H'_{\mathrm{APS}}}$ of the operator H'_{APS} defined in the domain $D(\overline{H'_{\mathrm{APS}}})$.[2]

Subject to the comparison, the second operator is $H_{\mathrm{ch}} : \mathrm{C}_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}) \to \mathrm{C}_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ defined in the Hilbert space $\mathrm{L}^2(\mathbb{R})$, whose action on every $f \in \mathrm{C}_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ is defined in the following way

$$(H_{\mathrm{ch}} f)(y) = \left(-\frac{d^2}{dy^2} - \frac{\alpha+1}{\mathrm{ch}^2(2y)}\right) f(y). \tag{38}$$

We construct a unitary map $U : L^2(\mathbb{R}) \to \mathcal{H}_\epsilon$ which has the following two properties:

i) U carries $\mathrm{C}_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ into $D(\overline{H'_{\mathrm{APS}}}) \subset \mathcal{H}_\epsilon$,

ii) The operator $\overline{H'_{\mathrm{APS}}}$ restricted to the image $U(\mathrm{C}_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}))$ satisfies

$$U H_{\mathrm{ch}} U^{-1} = \frac{1}{3\pi G}\overline{H'_{\mathrm{APS}}}. \tag{39}$$

Now, the properties of operators such as H_{ch} are very well known [3]. In particular the operator is essentially self adjoint. This is sufficient to conclude that the operator H'_{APS} and in the consequence H_{APS} are essentially self-adjoint. The essential spectrum of H_{ch} is $[0, \infty)$ as well as the absolutely continues spectrum. Those features are preserved by every unitary map and trace class perturbation. Finally, it is known that the absolutely continues part of the operator H_{ch} is unitarily equivalent to the part $-\frac{d^2}{dy^2}$ of the operator. However, that does not exclude existence of a point spectrum or singular continuous one. The whole spectrum is also equal to $[0, \infty)$ due to the positive definiteness.

---

[2] It is worth to be noted that if two operators are unitarily equivalent the same is true for their closures, whereas reverse statement is incorrect.

What we are left with is the construction of the unitary map (39). It will be performed in the next two sections.

3 The Fourier Transform

We define now a unitary map

$$\mathcal{F} : \mathcal{H}_\epsilon \ \rightarrow \ L^2([0,\ 2\pi]),$$

by the following formula

$$\psi = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} \psi(4n+\epsilon)|4n+\epsilon\rangle \ \mapsto \mathcal{F}\psi \tag{40}$$

$$\mathcal{F}\psi(x) \ = \ \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} \psi(4n+\epsilon) e^{i(n+\frac{\epsilon}{4})x} \tag{41}$$

The operator $\hat{\mathrm{h}}_4$ is transformed into the multiplication operator $e^{i\hat{x}}$ (we use the convention analogous to (6)),

$$(e^{i\hat{x}} f)(x) \ = \ e^{ix} f(x). \tag{42}$$

The operator $\frac{\hat{v}}{4}$ is transformed into the derivative $-i\frac{\partial}{\partial x}$ of a domain, however, different then the usual, namely:

$$D(-i\frac{\partial}{\partial x}) \ = \ \{f \in L^2([0,2\pi]) \ : f \text{ is absolutely continuous, } f(2\pi) = e^{\frac{1}{2}i\pi\epsilon} f(0)\}.$$

The operator generates a unitary group

$$U_t(f)(x) = \begin{cases} f(x+t) & , x+t \leq 2\pi \\ f(x+t-2\pi)e^{\frac{1}{2}i\pi\epsilon} & , x+t > 2\pi \end{cases}$$

In this section it is convenient to write the operator H'_{APS} in the following form

$$\begin{aligned} H'_{\mathrm{APS}} \ &= \ \frac{3\pi G}{4}[-\left(\hat{\mathrm{h}}_4 + \hat{\mathrm{h}}_{-4} - 2\right)\hat{v}^2 - 4\left(\hat{\mathrm{h}}_4 - \hat{\mathrm{h}}_{-4}\right)\hat{v} \\ &\quad + (\alpha - 2)\left(\hat{\mathrm{h}}_4 + \hat{\mathrm{h}}_{-4}\right) - 2\alpha]. \end{aligned} \tag{43}$$

The operator H'_{APS} is mapped by $\mathcal{F}$ into the following operator

$$\begin{aligned} H''_{\mathrm{APS}} \ &= \ 3\pi G[\ 4\left(\hat{\mathrm{h}}_4 + \hat{\mathrm{h}}_{-4} - 2\right)\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + 4i\left(\hat{\mathrm{h}}_4 - \hat{\mathrm{h}}_{-4}\right)\frac{d}{dx} \\ &\quad + \frac{\alpha-2}{4}\left(\hat{\mathrm{h}}_4 + \hat{\mathrm{h}}_{-4}\right) - \frac{\alpha}{2}\ ] \end{aligned} \tag{44}$$

whose natural domain $D(H''_{\mathrm{APS}})$ is the image of the domain of the closure of the operator H'_{APS}. We will characterize now a part of $D(H''_{\mathrm{APS}})$ that will be particularly useful in our paper. From the very definition each function of the form

$$g(x) = \sum_{k=n_0}^{n_1} a_k e^{i(k+\frac{\epsilon}{4})x}$$

belongs to the domain $D(H''_{\rm APS})$. The set of function interesting from the point of view of this paper is

$$\mathrm{C}^\infty_{0,2\pi}([0,2\pi]) \ := \ \{f\in \mathrm{C}^\infty([0,2pi] \ : \ f \text{ vanishes in a neighborhood of 0 and } 2\pi\}. \tag{45}$$

The key result of this subsection is the following:

Lemma 3.

$$\mathrm{C}^\infty_{0,2\pi}([0,2\pi]) \subset \ D(\overline{H''_{\rm APS}})$$

Proof. Writing the operator $H''_{\rm APS}$ in the following form

$$H''_{\rm APS} \ = \ 3\pi G\left(-16\sin^2(\frac{\hat{x}}{2})\frac{d^2}{dx^2} - 8\sin(\hat{x})\frac{d}{dx} + \frac{\alpha-2}{2}\cos(\hat{x}) - \frac{\alpha}{2}\right)$$

we can see an estimate:

$$\begin{aligned} |(H''_{\rm APS}f)(x)| \ &\leq \ 3\pi G[\ 16\left|\frac{d^2}{dx^2}f(x)\right| + 4\left|\frac{d}{dx}f(x)\right| \\ &+ \max(\left|\frac{\alpha-2}{2}+\frac{\alpha}{2}\right|, \left|\frac{\alpha-2}{2}-\frac{\alpha}{2}\right|)|f(x)|\ ]. \end{aligned} \tag{46}$$

That gives us an estimate on the norms of the form

$$\|f\|^2 + \|H''_{\rm APS}f\|^2 \ \leq \ \beta\|f''\|^2 + \gamma\|f'\|^2 + \chi\|f\|^2, \tag{47}$$

where $\beta,\gamma,\chi > 0$ are some constants one can calculate from (46) applying the inequality

$$(|a| + |\ |b| + |c|)^2 \ \leq \ 3\left(|a|^2 + |\ |b|^2 + |c|^2\right)$$

Now, we use the following facts

- every $f \in \mathrm{C}^\infty_{0,2\pi}([0,2\pi])$ satisfies the condition $f(2\pi) = e^{i\pi\epsilon/2}f(0)$ and therefore belongs in the domain of each of the operators $(-i)^n\frac{d^n}{dx^n}$.
- the set of functions

  $$\sum_{k=n_0}^{n_1} a_k e^{i(k+\epsilon)x}, \quad n_0,n_1\in\mathbb{Z}, a_k\in\mathbb{C} \tag{48}$$

  is dense in the domain of each of the operators $(-i)^n\frac{d^n}{dx^n}$ in graph norm (the set is spanned by the eigenfunctions).
- in the consequence, every $f \in \mathrm{C}^\infty_{0,2\pi}([0,2\pi])$ belongs in the closure of space (48) in the right-hand-side norm (47).
- the graph norm of the operator $H''_{\rm APS}$ (the left-hand-side of (47)) is weaker then the right-hand-side norm, hence every $f \in \mathrm{C}^\infty_{0,2\pi}([0,2\pi])$ belongs in the closure of space (48) in the right-hand-side norm (47).

That completes the proof.

$\square$

In the next subsection we will see that the set of functions $\mathrm{C}^\infty_{0,2\pi}([0,2\pi])$ is in fact sufficient for our purposes because the restriction of the operator H''_{APS} to that set is an essentially self adjoint operator. (It follows, in particular, that $D(H''_{\mathrm{APS}})$ is the closure of $\mathrm{C}^\infty_{0,2\pi}([0,2\pi])$ in the graph norm defined by H''_{APS}.)

4 Unitary equivalence with particle on a real line.

The last step of our construction is a unitary map

$$W : L^2\left((-\infty,\ \infty)\right) \ \rightarrow\ L^2([0,2\pi]).$$

Consider the following function

$$y(x) = \frac{1}{2}\ln \mathrm{tg}\frac{x}{4}$$

It transforms bijectively interval $[0,2\pi]$ onto $\mathbb{R}$. Define W to act on $f \in \mathrm{C}^\infty_0(\mathbb{R})$ in the following way

$$W(f)(x) \ =\ \sqrt{\frac{dy}{dx}}f(y(x))$$

We prove

Lemma 4. *The operator H''_{APS} (44) defined in the domain $C^\infty_{0,2\pi}([0,2\pi])$ (45) in the Hilbert space $L^2([0,2\pi])$ is mapped by the unitary map W^{-1} into the following operator defined in the domain $C^\infty_0(\mathbb{R})$ in the Hilbert space $L^2(\mathbb{R})$,*

$$W^{-1}H''_{\mathrm{APS}}W \ =\ -12\pi G\left(\frac{d^2}{dy^2} + \frac{\alpha+1}{4\cosh^2(2\hat{y})}\right).$$

Proof. First, we compute the image under W of the operator $i\frac{d}{dy}$ defined in the domain $\mathrm{C}^\infty_0(\mathbb{R})$ in the Hilbert space $L^2(-\infty,\infty)$, and find the following result,

$$Wi\frac{d}{dy}W^{-1} \ =\ 2i\sin(\frac{\hat{x}}{2})\frac{d}{dx} + \frac{i}{2}\cos(\frac{\hat{x}}{2})$$

defined in the domain $\mathrm{C}^\infty_{0,2\pi}([0,2\pi])$. We have used the formula

$$\frac{dy}{dx} = \frac{1}{2\sin(\frac{x}{2})}.$$

Next, we write H''_{APS} in the following form

$$H''_{\mathrm{APS}} \ =\ -3\pi G\left(\left(4\sin\frac{\hat{x}}{2}\frac{d}{dx} + \cos(\frac{\hat{x}}{2})\right)^2 \ +\ (\alpha+1)\sin^2(\frac{\hat{x}}{2})\right). \tag{49}$$

Finally, we note, that

$$\sin(x(y)) = \frac{2\mathrm{tg}(x/4)}{1+\mathrm{tg}^2(x/4)} = \frac{2e^{2y}}{1+e^{4y}} \ =\ \frac{1}{\cosh(2y)}.$$

$\square$

5 Remarks and outlook

The results of this paper were presented and discussed in Section 2. The established self-adjointness in the $\Lambda \leq 0$ case explains why the numerical computation of the evolution of the quantum state of the Universe made in [1, 8] went so well. On the other hand, we were not able to generalize our proof to the case of $\Lambda > 0$. Also, some new results [10] suggest a conjecture that the operator in question may have more then one self-adjoint extension when $\Lambda > 0$.

Our results apply to the generalization (24) of the operator $B^{-1}(\hat{v})\hat{C}_{\mathrm{gr}}$ derived in [1]. The value $\alpha = 5/9$ corresponds to the operator $B^{-1}(\hat{v})\hat{C}_{\mathrm{gr}}$. However, if $\alpha = 0$, then the corresponding function $\tilde{B}$ is just the inverse volume operator (modulo a constant factor). That case is being currently studied closer by Ashtekar, Corichi and Singh [8] independently of our work. Our result ensures that in that case the operator H_{APS} is essentially self-adjoint as well provided $\Lambda \leq 0$.

In this paper we focus on the flat FRW model. However the arguments used in the $\Lambda < 0$ case can be easily generalized to the $k = -1$ and $k = 1$ cases to prove the self-adjoitness and discreteness of the spectrum of the $B(\hat{v})^{-1}\hat{C}_{\mathrm{gr}}$ operator.

The summary and new extensions of the results achieved in [6], [7] and in the current paper, as well as a discussion of the relevance for Loop Quant Gravity [5] will be discussed in [9].

Acknowledgements We thank Abhay Ashtekar, Tomasz Pawłowski, Parampreet Singh for stimulating discussions. The work was partially supported by the Polish Ministerstwo Nauki i Szkolnictwa Wyzszego grant 1 P03B 075 29.

References

[1] Ashtekar A, Pawłowski T and Singh P 2006, Quantum Nature of Big Bang: Improved dynamics, *Phys. Rev. D* **7**4, 084003, gr-qc/0607039

[2] Bojowald M 2001, Loop quantum cosmology: III. Wheeler-DeWitt operators, *Class. Quantum Grav.* **18** 1055-1069
Bojowald M 2005, Loop quantum cosmology, *Liv. Rev. Rel.* **8**, 11 (*Preprint* gr-qc/0601085)
Ashtekar A, Bojowald M and Lewandowski J 2003, Mathematical structure of loop quantum cosmology, *Adv. Theo. Math. Phys.* **7**, 233-268 (*Preprint* gr-qc/0304074)
Ashtekar A, Pawłowski T and Singh P, Quantum nature of the bing bang: An analytical and numerical investigation I, *Phys. Rev.* D **73** 2006 124038 (*Preprint* gr-qc/0604013)
Ashtekar A, Pawłowski T, and Singh P 2006, Quantum nature of the big bang, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **96**, 141301 (*Preprint* gr-qc/0602086)
Bahr B, Thiemann T, 2006 Approximating the physical inner product of Loop Quantum Cosmology, gr-qc/0607075

[3] Reed M, Simon B 1978, Methods of Modern Mathematical Physics Vol. IV (New York: Academic Press)

[4] Kato T 1980, Perturbation Theory for Linear Operators, (Springer-Verlag)

[5] Rovelli C 2004, Quantum Gravity, (Cambridge Cambridge University Press)
Thiemann T, Introduction to Modern Canonical Quantum General Relativity (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press),
Ashtekar A and Lewandowski J 2004, Background independent quantum gravity: A status report, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **21**, R53-R152 (*Preprint* gr-qc/0404018)

[6] Ashtekar A, Pawłowski T, Vandersloot K and Singh P 2006 Loop quantum cosmology of $k = 1$ FRW models 2007 *Phys.Rev. D* 75, 024035, gr-qc/0612104
Szulc Ł, Kamiński W, Lewandowski J 2007 Closed FRW model in Loop Quantum Cosmology *Class. Quant. Grav.* **24**, 2621-2636, gr-qc/0612101

[7] Vandersloot K 2006, Loop quantum cosmology and the k = - 1 RW model, *Preprint* gr-qc/0612070
Szulc L 2007 Open FRW model in Loop Quantum Cosmology, gr-qc/0612101

[8] Astekar A, Corichi A, Singh P 2007, contributed talk at IGC Inaugural Conference Aug 9-11, 2007, Penn State

[9] Kamiński W, Lewandowski J, Pawłowski T and Szulc Ł 2006, Properties of the scalar constraint operator in LQC models, in preparation

[10] Pawłowski T, contributed talk at IGC Inaugural Conference Aug 9-11, 2007, Penn State